



<b>What do we really want?</b>
<b>HYPERVERSE CONTRA POST-HUMANISM</b>
Keno Oneo in Conversation with Jochen Franck

*Franck:* In the aftermath of our last conversation, it became clear to me that the two alternatives we analyzed at the end, which were "Post-Humanism" versus "Idealistic-Humanism," basically boil down to the following polarization:




Would you agree with this?

*Keno:* Yes, absolutely ... as a complementary sketch:



Basically, we are at the beginning of a conflict between two revolutions:



(A) is destructive for us humans. (B) is constructive for us humans. Although there are the first poets in the USA who talk about


**"machines with great tenderness,"**

the coming youth generations will hardly prefer the alternative (A). It means a clear degradation of human dignity. And at the same time it tells the young people right in the face: "In the long run you have no future!" In conclusion:

**Cyborgs have no dignity.**

**The Post-Humanism ends  
the spiritual Freedom of the Human-Being.**

One can also outline the two alternatives as follows:



*Franck:* Lovelock said ... as I remember ... once in an interview the following: We cannot kill the earth and nature. But we can kill ourselves ... e.g. if we do not solve the climate problem. So the question about "Survival" is very important (see (A)).

*Keno:* Basically, we are now discussing the Evolution of the human Survival-Strategy:

- |                 |   |  |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Survival<br>1.0 | { | – We have the biological program of Survival in us, because we as Mankind are "the Children of Creation".              |
| Survival<br>2.0 | { | – However, we also have the Intention of Creation within us, which aims at our evolutive Transformation into Creation. |

*Franck:* I understand it as follows: Survival 1.0 is the original biological form of Survival. And Survival 2.0 is a spiritual or co-evolutionary Form of Survival.

*Keno:* Yes, to put it a little differently:

**The more we as Humanity  
evolve into  
the Intelligence of Co-Evolution,  
the better our Survival will be.**

Yes, to put it a little differently:

The more we as Humanity evolve into the Intelligence of Co-Evolution, the better our Survival will be.

The problem is that the particularly smart ... that is, the intellectual elite ... are usually very clearly endowed with a critical and

**apocalyptic intellect.**

They therefore see very clearly what is going wrong. And they then activate ... what is to be expected ... the following factor:

The Logic of Strategy.

The current drama of the intellectual elite is that the link between apocalypse and strategy brings into play, quite automatically, the "suppressive ductus" of action. That is:

**The Humane is subordinated  
to strategic Reason.**

The currently dominant "Society of Coercion" is built on this model.

This strategic reason very quickly becomes a rigid ideology when the strategies employed are weaker than the existing problems:

**Intellectual people  
who experience "firsthand"  
that their strategies fail automatically  
associate the shaping of  
the future with repression.**

*Franck:* The "Society of Coercion" is thereby confirmed ...

*Keno:* ... yes, often even reinforced. Motto: In times of great crises (which we have) we don't need talking about Humanity, but a wise consequence.

The Cyborg-Vision, which is ultimately a non-human strategy, follows this pattern. Motto: We now need an intelligence that is smarter than the problems. And this is then called "Hyper-Intelligence". And since the human spirit is probably not capable to produce such a Hyper-Version, one needs AGI-Machines, Cyborgs and the Epoch of Post-Humanism.

This means that the previous scheme "Fitness beats Truth" is further developed to "Fitness by AGI" ... in other words: Hyper-Intelligence guarantees our survival as a "Survival 2.0". Instead of survival with the help of Creation ... thus Co-Evolution ... one sets on the old-known scheme of a

**"I do it alone Hybris "**

The rational spirit of man constructs a superior AGI-spirit because it can do it "alone", i.e. without the Cooperation of Creation ... although it is proven that man is "a Child of Creation and will always be.

Since this "We are Creation" is a Real-Reality, the Cyborg-Vision violates the Truth of a Real-Reality, i.e. the Reality of the Human Spirit. Thus, two problematic components combine in the logic of AGI:

- 1.- The Reality of the human Spirit (i.e., the Truth of the human Spirit) is excluded, i.e., this Real-Reality is not recognized and made usable as "Natural-Intelligence." Conclusion:

**The envisioned Artificial Intelligence  
does not employ  
the Intelligence of Creation.**

- 2.- The 1<sup>st</sup> Reality-Generator, which is supposed to produce the AGI-Machines, is one-sidedly oriented towards a dual logic (thus it instrumentalizes

Cartesian Metaphysics). As a result, the actual Source for Emergent-Consciousness ... the Third ... is not algorithmized (for this, see Achim Stephan "Emergenz. From unpredictability to self-organization", 2007). Conclusion:

**Cartesian Metaphysics  
blocks the evocative Awakening  
of Emergent-Consciousness.**

*Franck:* In my words: AGI and cyborg generate a special intelligence which is aimed to be intelligent without the truth of the human being. And Post-Humanism is thus the result of this higher intelligence.

*Keno:* Yes, very well put. Adding to this: If one excludes human-being and thus the natural intelligence of the human spirit, an artificial intelligence can develop, which can serve humans less and less, the better this artificial intelligence develops (keyword: the Noetic-Test).

*Franck:* The second point, in my words: AGI and Cyborg will develop a high level of intelligence, but cannot build Emergent-Consciousness, because they are "Children of Cartesian Metaphysics" but not "Children of Creation".

*Keno:* Yes, also very well stated. Adding to this: Science and Cartesian Metaphysics unite in the Mind of Duality. However, every dyadic structure is principally not suitable to comprehend or even to form the Intelligence of Interfusion ... thus to make the Source of Intelligence usable.

*Franck:* Which means: the Third cannot be recognized by intellectual Logic, because the Third represents the in-principle Non-Logic. Is that your argument?

*Keno:* Yes, very correct. But since the Third is the intellectual Source of Becoming-Better, a dual Mind cannot integrate itself into this Becoming-Better. So it cannot "place itself " exactly there, where the Emergent-Consciousness is generated. In other words:

**Because AGI-Machines  
are programmed on Logic,  
they are unable to become innovative  
in the field of Consciousness.**

*Franck:* However, some time ago you explained to me that the ambitious AGI concepts should be equipped with the idea of conscious and creative Illogic.

*Keno:* Yes, as a break-out from the rules of dual logic, so to speak. A kind of

"self-de-regulation" ... arbitrary and experimental.

*Franck:* And: does this bring us to Emergent-Consciousness?

*Keno:* Yes, this ambitious strategy can produce a Consciousness that emerges outside of man, crises and the future. The question is whether this "artificial" Consciousness can be a helpful Consciousness for us Humans. Remember: Cyborgs are supposed to lead us Humans to a better future. That is the official version.

We need Emergent-Consciousnesses that are specifically useful for the human Future. But as this human Future is more and more clearly moving in the direction of " We Humans Become Creation", these artificial Consciousnesses will become more and more useless. Somewhat exaggeratedly said:

**The Emergent-Consciousnesses  
of the AGI-Machines over time  
may become more and more  
useful for the Cyborgs, but  
they will become more and more irrelevant  
and useless for the Futures of Humanity.**

*Franck:* Are you sure that our human Evolution is actually moving in the direction of "We Humans Become Creation"? I cannot see that.

*Keno:* Man is not yet that Man who lives as a conscious Now-of-Creation in the Now of the World ... where this Now of the World is also Creation. And "the Spirit is about to become" (Manfred Eigen).

It is a matter of making the Spirit of Creation the Co-Creator of our Way to the Nagual Human-Being.

So it is an act of Fitness, if you shape your own Intelligence in such a way, that one can better and better integrate the current and the becoming Spirit of Creation into oneself ... as a conscious Strategy of Interfusion:

- The AGI-Machines and the Cyborgs cannot accomplish this Interfusion.
- But the Woke-Activists have begun to integrate the Spirit of Creation into our Consciousness:

**Woke is organizing  
the Interfusion between  
Mind and Creation.**

**Woke is changing**

**our Culture in favor  
of Co-Evolution.**

*Franck:* Oh, yes! Do you really see such great and important value in the Woke-Movement?


*Keno:* Yes. Let us go step by step. Basically, there are three Visions at the moment:

- 1.- the Self-Abolition of Man  
    ↳ Post-Humanism
- 2.- the Self-Production of Man  
    ↳ "Anthropotechnics"  
    (Sloterdijk)
- 3.- the Self-Qualification of Man through Co-Evolution  
    ↳ Noeteric / Nagualization /  
    Hyperverses

Vision 1 is preferred by Sciences and IT nerds. At first glance, visions 2 and 3 seem to want fairly the same thing.

*Franck:* Where is the difference?

*Keno:* As a sketch:



Sloterdijk's Anthropotechnics is about supplementing and transforming the traditional relation between matter and spirit (i.e. the classical dualism à la Descartes) by a special impulse-information to be produced by a "transdualistic Logic".

Noeteric is about overcoming the traditional relation between matter, nature and culture by a Nagualization of Man (and his I). This

Nagualization of the Tonal can be accomplished through Co-Evolution. Briefly:

- Anthropotechnics wants to improve the Evolution of Mankind with a polyvalent Logic. Motto: Better Logic = better Future.
- Noeteric aims at improving Humanity of Mankind through the Practice of Co-Evolution. Motto:

**Better Consciousness through  
better Humanity.**

*Franck:* Where exactly is now the difference? This is not clear to me.

*Keno:* With Anthropotechnics the classical dual logic is overcome. A polyvalent Logic is to qualify the evolutionary behavior of humans spiritually-mentally. That means: It remains in the end with the 1<sup>st</sup> Reality-Generator. The rational world view remains. The cognition system also remains. Only with the logic of thinking is thought more complex and a-logical. So one produces an information which is placed outside of the subject-object-cognition. This theoretically makes a lot of sense (see e.g. the works of Gotthard Günther).

The concept of Anthropotechnics is a concept for improving our evolutionary Logic.

But this concept does not work in practice. Absolutely not. Why? If a subject (person) wants to produce a cognition (information) that can only be produced outside the subject-object cognition, then this subject (person) has to exclude itself in the process of producing. That means: the observer tries to observe without his observing. This is not possible.

*Franck:* May be. But how is it done in Noeteric?

*Keno:* One nagualizes the I and the Mind of the Person:

**The Person develops  
cognition together with  
the Mind of Creation in the Third.**

On this basis, which I have only briefly sketched here, there are two aspects through which we can better see the differences:

- In Anthropotechnics, only the theory of cognizing logic is significantly improved.
- With Noeteric the use of the Nagual becomes a Technique of Cognition.



*Franck:* So in my words: Anthropotechnics remains descriptive philosophy ...

*Keno:* ... remains with the concept "better cognition through better theory ...

*Franck:* ... Noetic renounces Theory. It takes place as a Practice of Co-Evolution. Is that right?

*Keno:* Yes. And it is at this point that we can grasp the importance of Woke-Activities.

*Franck:* I am curious.

*Keno:* Co-Evolution as a Practice. That's what it's about: a Practice for all People. This Practice is based on two processes:

- The Dynamics of continuous Change, accomplished through the power of Differentiation.
- The Dynamics of a self-optimizing We-ness and Commonness, accomplished through Unification.

Co-Evolution is thus based on the same factors as Creation. The quantum physicist Herbert Pietschmann has analyzed that Creation is based quite fundamentally on Differentiation in favor of Unification:

**It becomes  
more and more complex  
so that the Common can  
become more and more common.**

In other words: The growing Intelligence of our Differentiation is our way to our All-One.

If we Humans want to transform ourselves ... in the long run ... into the Essence of Creation (because this corresponds to the "Cosmic-Intention" / Einstein), then we will have to integrate the inner Compass of Creation, i.e. Differentiation in favor of Unification into our Human-Being.

This is exactly the concern of Woke-Activism:

**Diversity (Differentiation)  
for more Inclusion (Unification).**

It follows:

**The Practice of Co-Evolution  
integrates into the**

### inherent Dynamics of Creation.

*Franck:* And you now claim that neither AGI-Machines or the associated Post-Humanism (= the abolition of man) nor Anthropotechnics (= the improvement of our evolutionary Logic) will be able to shape those Futures that can both protect or heal our Nature and let us survive as Humanity.

*Keno:* Yes, this is my conviction. Only a Praxeology of Co-Evolution will bring us and our behavior towards Nature to that level that connects

### Progress with Healing ... with real Becoming-Better.

The time is coming to an end in which, in the context of a "Society of Coercion", everything that is to be realized as shaping the future

### is carried out as a zero-sum game.

Such zero-sum games ultimately produce unsolvable crises.

*Franck:* Keyword: "crises." You have argued that the combination of our spiritual emancipation with the simultaneous presence of unsolvable permanent crises has led to the ANC becoming more and more activated.

*Keno:* Yes.

*Franck:* At the same time, you have stated that Woke-Activism has begun to secularize this ANC. Likewise, you have said that the ANC is an invention. How does that fit together?

*Keno:* I assume that the following is true for us Humans:

Cosmos = Creation = Life

Life = Consciousness as Something = Free-Nagual


Consciousness = my I = Nagual as I-substance

Consequently, our worldly formed I (= the I of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defluence) is an I in which a Nagual-I is hidden, i.e. worldly largely inactive. This hidden Nagual Substance which on the one hand only belongs to me, but on the other hand also to all other people and the Free-Nagual ... this Nagual hidden in me is the ANC:

### The ANC is my Consciousness of the Permanence of my Being in

**the Cosmos and in the World.**

Hence, this ANC is there. All the time. Therefore it does not have to be invented. What we have to invent, however, is the spiritual access to this ANC. Keyword: 2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence. And what we have to invent in addition is a Methodology and a Practice-System, which makes us Cooperation-Partners for this ANC. Keyword: 2<sup>nd</sup> Reality-Generator. From this follows ... as a sketch:



What Teenagers and the Woke-Activists are looking for and practicing, is the ANC. Because of the numerous crises, this ANC is activating more and more in the Consciousness of younger Generation ... the search for ANC (= search for Resonances) and the social Implementation has started ... started as Woke.

*Franck:* I feel that you see the Woke-Wave too positively. Can that be?

*Keno:* You remember. In one of our recent conversations, I stated the following:

- Wok-Now is far from Woke-Final.
- There will be many mistakes, exaggerations and derailments.

Woke can only become evolutively truly significant if its implementation takes place as an optimizing learning ... that is, as a process of Self-

Correction.

*Franck:* Aha. What is going ... wrong ... from today's point of view?

*Keno:* I formulated a kind of overview on this topic some time ago. Let's look at it:

## WHAT ARE THE WOKE-ACTIVISTS DOING WRONG?

- They are fighting against existing rules, habits and norms of society in order to activate the Values of Humanity in our Society:

**The Problem is  
the Fighting.**

- They mix the valuable Woke-Values with morality and direct intervention in citizens' behavior:

**The Problem is  
the Restriction of Freedom.**

- They combine opinions, facts and scientific data with the claims of absolutes of right goals and the right ways:

**The problem is  
the Energy of Radicalism,  
which is ultimately a Claim to Power.**

- They tend to associate the existing problems in our society too closely with Woke values. This leads to capitalism, the democratic form of government and in particular "the bright torch of freedom of expression" (L. M. Seidmann) being declared guilty:

**The problem is the combination  
of grievances and a generalized  
blaming of "higher systems".**

**This creates an apodictic irrationality  
that discriminates important and  
valuable pillars of society and  
indirectly "releases them for shooting".**

A spiritual trend is emerging (especially in the West) that aims at a "De-Westernization of the World" (Berénice Levet).

- They connect the legitimate issues of Identity with issues of Racism ("A white Identity is inherently Racist" / Robin DeAngelo) and with the patriarchal Repression:

**The problem is the introduction  
of problematic Narratives of Power  
that lead to moral Discredits and social Exclusions**

*Franck:* In other words, there are problems with Fighting, with Freedom, with Radicalism, with Freedom of Expression (Tolerance), and with the Values of the West.

I see Woke as follows: This is just a cultural flash in the pan. The many problems will smother this fire quite soon. The net effect will probably not be to move us from a "Society of Coercion" to a "Society of Creation".

*Keno:* Yes. In principle, I can agree:

**As long as Woke organizes itself as  
a fight of values in society and not as an  
intrinsic enticement to Becoming-Better ...  
as long as there is a risk of failure.**

*Franck:* What is the consequence of this analysis?

*Keno:* Woke needs the Hyperverse, and as an active system of enticement:

**Enticement by  
those longings  
that are activated and  
intensified by the ANC.**

And one more thing:

**Woke needs a stable  
coupling to CCC (Collaborative Crossing Creativity)  
in the digital rhizome sphere of the Hyperverse.**

*Franck:* So getting out of the fierce discourses of the public sphere? So escape into the intrinsic of longings and the ANC? That sounds so cowardly. Can you understand that?

*Keno:* Yes, absolutely. But my expectation and prognosis may be different from yours:

- One can assume that the ANC will become more and more present, i.e.,

**Woke cannot become a loser,**

if Woke creatively ritualizes the ANC in the Hyperverse  
(e.g., through the Noeteric Rituals of Metabliss).

- It is reasonable to assume that the next generation of Teenagers, i.e.,

**Generation A**

will start to realize the Crossing Initiations leading to  
the Nagual-I (=2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence) in the Hyperverse. In this  
context:

The more the Nagual-I becomes present in the worldly-  
I, the happier the worldly-I becomes. The effect:

**Identity and Dignity  
begin to unite.**

- The more powerfully this Dignity of the I unfolds in the coming generations (i.e. generation A and B) unfolds, the more a collective morphic (spiritual) Field will emerge in our Society that will enforce the Woke-Initiatives almost as if by itself (i.e., without the negative side effects of the listed problems).

*Franck:* I interpret you as saying that the success of Woke will be an effect of the Nagual-I. So Identity is the key factor.

*Keno:* Yes. It starts with Identity, i.e. with the Nagualization of the I through the accomplishment of

**the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence  
in the Teenagers.**

Thus, the following becomes clear at this point:

- We want an Inclusive-Fitness.
- If we want this kind of Fitness, we need a significant improvement in our Humanity.

**Humanity is the shaping Energy  
for our Inclusive-Fitness.**

- The improvement of our Humanity cannot be organized by the "Society of Coercion" nor by AGI-Machines, Cyborgs or Post-Humanism:

**We need the Woke-Values  
in combination with a 2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence.**

*Franck:* I know you are a pragmatist. Therefore as a question: Are the Woke-Values and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence really sufficient?

*Keno:* Important question. There are two additional aspects:

1. We should aim to integrate Woke-Values (Young-Adults) and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence (Teenagers) into a pleasurable digital System. This is the aspect of Hyperverse.
2. We must succeed to overcome the bivalent Logic dominating in our "Mind of Duality" (=1<sup>st</sup> Reality-

Generator) by combining Metaverse (= Reality-Mix) and Metabliss (Noeteric-Rituals), in favor of the Third.

*Franck:* Why is Logic and the Third so important?

*Keno:* It is not sufficient by giving the I it's Dignity through the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defluence. It is not sufficient to provide this Nagual-I with a 2<sup>nd</sup> Reality-Generator. We additionally need the accomplishment of the following goals:

**Become the  
Me of the Third.**

**Live your Life in  
the Nowness of the Third.**

The Third is the spiritual-cultural Core for the coming 2<sup>nd</sup> Axial-Age.

*Franck:* Hmm. We should deepen that. Right now, the function of the Third is not clear to me.

*Keno:* As a formula:


**The Third  
is our Workbench  
for Co-Evolution.**

If you look at the philosophical and mathematical debates of the scientists concerning the Third, you will either become completely confused, or you will suspect that this phenomenon has something to do with Creation and Cosmos.

*Franck:* That is, we can't comprehend the strange Third with our 1<sup>st</sup> Reality-Generator ... that is, with Rationality, Cognition and Logic of Thinking ....


*Keno:* ... yes, and therefore we can't make the Third usable ... for Co-Evolution. If we want to make the Third mentally usable, we will have to deal with the Relation of the I to the Third. Two statements on this:





The Third is ... presumably through the ANC ... the Nagual-I, which we experience as " My-I". The Third is thus fundamentally present as the Source of Becoming-Better in our Nagual-I.

In this respect, the matter of the Third is actually completely unproblematic. The connection of My-I, the Third and Becoming-Better is a basic matter of course. It only becomes problematic when we start with our logic of thinking to want to recognize and understand this matter of course. Then it becomes illogical and spooky. I remind us of the following statement:



*Franck:* But if we want to make the Third the Workbench of Co-Evolution, we must analyze the Third. And also the Relationship that exists between the Third and the I. If one does not understand something, one cannot instrumentalize it. That should be obvious.

*Keno:* Sounds logical. But if you analyze it to grasp it, it's gone. What you then have in hand is simply the process of wanting to grasp. So you are only harvesting a method, not the substance at stake.

The positivistic, strict Cartesian science recognizes the Third only as the Third to be excluded. It is bothering and it is experienced as a

**Source of the Untrue.**

But if we now want to do Co-Evolution, and if for this we need the Third as the Partner or Workbench, then we will be forced to put the problem of the recognized Truth in a new kind of Relation to the Source of all Truths (= the Third).

Immediately questions will then arise such as:

**What Truths  
that are not true  
will be productive for  
our Inclusive-Fitness?**

With this, however, we clearly leave the competence field of the 1<sup>st</sup> Reality-Generator and move in the direction of:

**"Life is a Miracle."**

**"Consciousness is a Mystery."**

**"Consciousness as Something  
eludes our analysis because it is  
the principally Unknown."**

Short:


**The factor of Inventing  
becomes the creative partner  
of the Truth of the Better.**

*Franck:* What are the consequences of this?

*Keno:* So Fusion, Unification and Interfusion as mental Techniques (in the sense of neuronal-intrinsic Technologies), and coupled to them that Invention which might be able to make operable the Common in Mystery, Miracle and Consciousness as Something. This Common is the Invention of the Nagual. It follows:


**For the Practice of  
Co-Evolution we need Pan-Nagualism  
in connection with the  
new category of neuronal-intrinsic Technologies.**

The following sketch is for this purpose:



*Franck:* All this is obviously very far from science. I therefore have doubts whether something like this could become established.

*Keno:* At the moment there is only the functional Unity of




AGI-Machines, Cyborgs and Post-Humanism are consistently based on this Unity. That means: right now, we are pinning our Hopes for the Emergence of Inclusive-Fitness (the importance of which presumably everyone involved is convinced) on this Unity.

Why? Because of the Metaphysics of our Background and because of the Dominance of the 1st Reality-Generator in our Mind.

*Franck:* What would be better?

*Keno:* Better would be a two-way development ... a constructed parallelism of technical and neuronal-intrinsic Technologies. As a sketch for this:



If we follow this two-way path, we will end up with a new kind of Relationship of our Now-Consciousness with the Third ... the eternal Source of Becoming-Better. We will arrive at the Third ... that is the Inclusive-Fitness we need.

*Franck:* Do you see an opportunity for that?

*Keno:* Quite clearly: yes.